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1 Inoculation of pSB1C3-T7-Nuc glycerol stock sample in liquid media

Responsible
Aman Mebrahtu

Results and Conclusions
Successful.
2 Kirby-Bauer test on expressed nuclease

Responsible
Reskandi Rudjito

Protocols used
Kirby-Bauer test

Modifications and comments to protocols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein/antibiotic samples</th>
<th>Kanamycin 35 mg/ml (positive control)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dH$_2$O</td>
<td>(negative control)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuc P 0.5 mM ITPG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuc P 1.0 mM ITPG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Incubation time 16 h

Volume of TOB1 cells on McConkey plates 200 µl

Experimental Set Up

Two plates were prepared with Nuc pellet (P). Kanamycin was used as a positive control and dH$_2$O as a negative control on both plates. Whatman papers were impregnated with 200 µl of sample, positive control, and negative control.

Results and Conclusions
Figure 1: Result of the incubation of TOB1 cells on MacConkey agar with impregnated Whatman paper discs.

Discussion and Troubleshooting

The results from this attempt were inconclusive since it was difficult to determine whether the growth of TOB1 around the impregnated Whatman papers was actually impeded. More clear results of the activity of nuclease were obtained from the biofilm assay of the same cell lysate samples.
3 Biofilm assay on pSCB1C3-T7-Nuc

Responsible
Shuangjia Xue, Jenny Waspe, and Aliki Mitropoulou

Protocols used
Biofilm Assay

Experimental Set Up

Biofilm dispersal by Nuc was demonstrated using crystal violet assays; one with \textit{P. aeruginosa} as the biofilm-producer and the other with \textit{S. aureus}. Induced BL21(DE3) cell lysate samples (both debris pellet resuspension and supernatant) are used for the experiment. The biofilm is treated with 1 µl, 5 µl, 10 µl, or 20 µl of Nuc sample. Differences between the negative control and Nuc are evaluated using the students t-test. A p-value $\leq 0.05$ is considered statistically significant. The samples from supernatants are labelled "Nu S". The samples from the pellets were contaminated and are not shown in the results.

A crystal assay is conducted to evaluate how Nuc inhibits biofilm growth by \textit{P. aeruginosa} and \textit{S. aureus}, respectively. Induced BL21(DE3) cell lysate samples (both debris pellet resuspension and supernatant) are used for the experiment.

\textit{S. aureus} is cultured in BHI media and \textit{P. aeruginosa} PAO in LB media. After an overnight incubation, the cell density was measured at OD 600. 200 µl of the liquid culture is added into each well and treated with Nuc of four different volumes: 1, 5, 10 and 20 µl. The biofilm is allowed to develop for 48 hours with subsequent staining. OD is then measured again at 600 nm. Cell lysates of BL21(DE3) cells are used as negative control. Differences between the negative control and Nuc is evaluated using the students t-test. A p-value $\leq 0.05$ is considered statistically significant. The samples from supernatants and pellet are labelled "Nuc S" and "Nuc P", respectively.
Results and Conclusions

Figure 2: OD measured for different treatments of Nuc using *P. aeruginosa* as biofilm-producer.

The graph illustrates how different volumes of Nuc dispersed biofilm formed by *P. aeruginosa*. There is a significant difference (p=0.023) between the negative control and 1 µl of Nuc treatment. Notably, OD decreases as the amount of negative control increases. This may be due to contamination or elution or dispersal of biofilm because of the increased amount of fluid *per se* in the treatment.
Figure 3: OD measured for different treatments of Nuc using *S. aureus* as biofilm-producer.

The graph illustrates how different volumes of Nuc dispersed biofilm formed by *S. aureus*. There is a significant difference between 5 µl of negative control and 5 µl of Nuc S.

Figure 4: OD measured for different treatments of Nuc using *P. aeruginosa* as biofilm-producer.

The graph illustrates how the combination of supernatant and resuspended pellet of Nuc cell lysate inhibits the formation of biofilm by *P. aeruginosa*. As the treatment increases, the OD tends to increase as well. There is a significant difference (p=0.02) between the negative control and Nuc S for the 1 µl treatment.
Figure 5: OD measured for different treatments of Nuc using *S. aureus* as biofilm-producer.

The graph illustrates how the combination of supernatant and resuspended pellet of Nuc cell lysate inhibits the formation of biofilm by *S. aureus*. The negative control seems inconsistent; it may be that it is dose dependent in *S. aureus* or that it has been contaminated. This experiment showed a significant difference between 1 µl of negative control and Nuc S, as well as between 20 µl of negative control and Nuc P. However, these results may not be reliable.
4 Biofilm assay on pSCB1C3-T7-Nuc - second attempt

Responsible
Shuangjia Xue, Jenny Waspe, and Aliki Mitropoulou

Protocols used
Biofilm Assay

Experimental Set Up

The second attempt of dispersing biofilm was similar to the first. However, this time a combination of pellet and supernatant was used as treatment with the ratio 1:1, e.g. for 5 µl treatment, 2.5 µl of each was used. For this attempt the biofilm was treated with 5 µl, 20 µl and 50 µl of Nuc. The samples were labelled "Nuc" and the negative control was labelled "Neg". Only one volume of negative control was used.

The second attempt of inhibiting biofilm growth was similar to the first. However, this time a combination of pellet and supernatant was used as treatment with the ratio 1:1, e.g. for 5 µl treatment, 2.5 µl of each was used. For this attempt the biofilm was treated with 5 µl, 20 µl and 50 µl of Nuc. The samples were labelled "Nuc" and the negative control was labelled "Neg". Only one volume of negative control was used.

Results and Conclusions

![Biofilm dispersal 8 h after treatment in P. aeruginosa](image)

Figure 6: OD measured for different treatments of Nuc using *P. aeruginosa* as biofilm-producer.
The figure illustrates how Nuc dispersed biofilm produced by *P. aeruginosa*. There is a significant difference between the negative control and each of the three volumes of Nuc. As the volume of Nuc increases, OD tends to decrease. This implicates that Nuc significantly dispersed biofilm compared to the negative control.

Figure 7: OD measured for different treatments of Nuc using *S. aureus* as biofilm-producer.

The figure illustrates how Nuc dispersed biofilm produced by *S. aureus*. There is a significant difference between the negative control and each of the three volumes of Nuc. This implicates that Nuc significantly dispersed biofilm compared to the negative control.
Figure 8: OD measured for different treatments of Nuc using *P. aeruginosa* as biofilm-producer.

The figure illustrates how Nuc (supernatant and pellet in a 1:1 ratio) inhibits biofilm formation by *P. aeruginosa*. These results show that OD decreases as the volume of treatment increases. There is a significant difference between the negative control and 20 µl and 50 µl of Nuc treatment, respectively.

Figure 9: OD measured for different treatments of Nuc using *S. aureus* as biofilm-producer.

The figure illustrates how Nuc (supernatant and pellet in a 1:1 ratio) inhibits biofilm formation by *S. aureus*. The poor readout of the negative control implicates that the test is invalid.
However, OD of biofilm increases as volume of Nuc increases, and the negative control shows low values. There is a significant difference between the negative control and 50 µl of Nuc.